

Revised Draft Environmental Impact Report Central Larkspur Specific Plan



SCH #2001112092
Volume 1 - DEIR Text

Prepared by:
EDAW
2022 J Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

November 14, 2003



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter/Section	Page
VOLUME I EIR TEXT	
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	vi
1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Background	1-1
1.2 CEQA Environmental Process	1-2
1.3 Permits and Other Approvals that May Use This EIR to Implement the Project	1-5
2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
2.1 Project Location.....	2-1
2.2 Project Setting	2-1
2.3 Goals and Objectives	2-5
2.4 Project Characteristics	2-8
2.5 Methodology and Assumptions for the EIR Analysis.....	2-18
3 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3-1
3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Site Location and Description.....	3-1
3.3 Purpose of and Need for the Project.....	3-2
3.4 Project Overview and Components.....	3-3
3.5 Project Background.....	3-5
3.6 Scope and Content	3-5
3.7 Impacts, Mitigation Measures, and Unavoidable Significant Impacts	3-6
3.8 Permits and Other Approvals that May Use this EIR to Implement the Project.....	3-6
3.9 Alternatives to the Proposed Project	3-7
3.10 Evaluation of Alternatives and Identification of the Environmentally Superior Alternative	3-7
3.11 Areas of Controversy/Issues to be Resolved during Environmental Review.....	3-8
4 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS	4-1
4.1 Land Use/Plans and Policies	4.1-1
4.2 Housing and Population	4.2-1
4.3 Geology and Soils	4.3-1
4.4 Hydrology and Water Quality	4.4-1
4.5 Biological Resources.....	4.5-1
4.6 Air Quality	4.6-1
4.7 Traffic and Circulation.....	4.7-1
4.8 Noise	4.8-1
4.9 Public Services and Facilities.....	4.9-1
4.10 Visual Quality and Aesthetics.....	4.10-1

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(CONTINUED)**

Chapter/Section	Page
4.11 Historical, Cultural and Archaeological Resources	4.11-1
4.12 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	4.12-1
5 OTHER CEQA-MANDATED SECTIONS.....	5-1
5.1 Growth-Inducing Impacts	5-1
5.2 Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts and Significant and Irreversible Commitment of Resources.....	5-3
6 ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROJECT	6-1
6.1 Introduction	6-1
6.2 Alternatives Considered	6-1
6.3 No Project Alternative	6-2
6.4 Low Density Alternative	6-9
6.5 Residential Focus Alternative.....	6-14
6.6 Comparison of Proposed Project and Alternatives	6-20
6.7 Evaluation of Alternatives	6-24
7 REFERENCES.....	7-1
7.1 Printed References	7-1
7.2 Personal Communications	7-9
8 REPORT PREPARATION.....	8-1
 <u>EXHIBITS</u>	
2-1 Specific Plan Area Location and Setting.....	2-2
2-2 Central Larkspur Specific Plan Area	2-3
2-3 Annotated Aerial View of Plan Area and Environs	2-4
2-4 Specific Plan Subareas	2-9
4.1-1 Location of Central Larkspur Specific Plan in Relation to Larkspur Downtown Specific Plan and Historic District.....	4.1-2
4.3-1 Regional Fault System.....	4.3-4
4.4-1 100 and 500-Year Flood Limits.....	4.4-3
4.4-2 Specific Plan Area Drainage	4.4-7
4.5-1 Views of Subarea 3	4.5-3
4.5-2 Views of Larkspur Creek	4.5-4
4.5-3 Sensitive Biological Resources in the Vicinity of the Specific Plan Area	4.5-7
4.7-1 Local Roadway Network Study Intersection Locations	4.7-2
4.7-2 Existing Lane Geometries	4.7-7
4.7-3 Existing A.M. (P.M.) Peak-Hour Volumes	4.7-11
4.7-4 Golden Gate Transit Bus Routes in the Study Area	4.7-13
4.7-5 Specific Plan A.M. (P.M.) Peak-Hour Volumes	4.7-24

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(CONTINUED)

Chapter/Section	Page
4.7-6 Existing Plus Specific Plan A.M. (P.M.) Peak-Hour Volumes.....	4.7-27
4.7-7 Existing Plus Cumulative (No Specific Plan) A.M. (P.M.) Peak-Hour Volumes.....	4.7-37
4.7-8 Existing Plus Cumulative Plus Specific Plan A.M. (P.M.) Peak-Hour Volumes.....	4.7-38
4.8-1 Noise Measurement Locations.....	4.8-6
4.8-2 Larkspur Noise and Land Use Compatibility Standards.....	4.8-11
4.10-1 Views of Mt. Tamalpais from East and South Central Portions of Niven Nursery Site.....	4.10-2
4.10-2 Views to West from Edge of Niven Nursery Site at East Ward Street and from Magnolia Avenue.....	4.10-3
4.10-3 Views of Railroad Buildings.....	4.10-4
4.12-1 Subarea 3 – Hazardous Materials Evaluation Areas.....	4.12-9
4.12-2 Proposed Soil Removal and Filling in Subarea 3.....	4.12-15

TABLES

1-1 Permits and Other Required Approvals that May Use This EIR to Implement the Project	1-5
2-1 Land Use Development Potential.....	2-10
2-2 Subarea Data.....	2-11
2-3 Summary of Project Vehicle Trip Rates	2-20
2-4 Project Description – Subarea 1	2-21
2-5 Project Description – Subarea 2.....	2-22
2-6 Project Description – Subarea 3.....	2-22
2-7 Theoretical Maximum Intensity in Development Subareas	2-23
3-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	3-9
4.2-1 Larkspur’s “Fair Share” of New Affordable Residential Units	4.2-2
4.3-1 Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale of 1931	4.3-6
4.3-2 Comparison of Richter Magnitude and Modified Mercalli Intensity.....	4.3-7
4.4-1 Tidal Characteristics.....	4.4-2
4.4-2 Runoff Calculations—Rational Method.....	4.4-16
4.6-1 Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	4.6-2
4.6-2 Air Quality Data for San Rafael, 2000-2002	4.6-4
4.6-3 Winter Project Emissions - Pounds per Day (2015).....	4.6-9
4.6-4 Summer Project Emissions - Pounds per Day (2015)	4.6-10
4.7-1 Summary and Comparison of Average Daily Traffic Volumes	4.7-6
4.7-2 Existing Peak Hour Intersection Level of Service	4.7-9

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(CONTINUED)**

Chapter/Section	Page
4.7-3	Summary of School Crosswalk Counts 4.7-15
4.7-4	Summary of Specific Plan Area Parking Occupancy..... 4.7-17
4.7-5	Summary of Specific Plan Vehicle Trip Rates..... 4.7-19
4.7-6	Subarea New Land Use Summary..... 4.7-20
4.7-7	Summary of Daily and Peak Hour Specific Plan Vehicle Trips Maximum Land Use Intensity 4.7-21
4.7-8	Vehicle Trip Distribution Summary..... 4.7-23
4.7-9	Specific Plan Share of Existing and Future Peak Hour Intersection Volumes..... 4.7-25
4.7-10	Existing Plus Specific Plan Peak-Hour Intersection Level of Service 4.7-26
4.7-11	Central Larkspur Specific Plan Area Parking Standards..... 4.7-33
4.7-12	Summary of Specific Plan Parking Supply Standards 4.7-33
4.7-13	Existing Plus Cumulative (No Specific Plan) and Existing Plus Cumulative Plus Specific Plan Conditions Intersection Level of Service 4.7-39
4.7-14	Level of Service After Mitigation 4.7-46
4.8-1	Definition of Acoustical Terms..... 4.8-2
4.8-2	Typical Sound Levels Measured in the Environment and Industry..... 4.8-3
4.8-3	Reaction of People to and Damage to Buildings from Continuous Vibration Levels 4.8-4
4.8-4	Results of Noise Measurements at 690 Riviera Circle, 76 Via La Brisa, and 71 Riviera Circle..... 4.8-8
4.8-5	Results of Vibration Measurements at 690 Riviera Circle, 76 Via La Brisa, and 71 Riviera Circle..... 4.8-9
4.8-6	Traffic and Noise Level Increases from Existing Scenario to Existing Plus Specific Plan Scenario..... 4.8-14
4.8-7	Traffic and Noise Level Increases from Existing Plus Cumulative (No Specific Plan) Scenario to Existing Plus Cumulative Plus Specific Plan Scenario 4.8-16
4.9-1	School Capacity and Enrollment, 2002-2003 School Year 4.9-1
4.9-2	Student Generation per Residential Unit..... 4.9-7
6-1	Land Use Types, Intensities, and Trip Generation (P.M. Peak Hour) by Specific Plan Subarea and Alternative 6-3
6-2	Intersection Levels of Service: Proposed Project Versus No Project Alternative 6-6
6-3	Cumulative Intersection Levels of Service: Proposed Project Versus Low Density Alternative 6-12
6-4	Cumulative Intersection Levels of Service: Proposed Project Versus Residential Focus Alternative..... 6-18
6-5	Comparison of Project and Alternatives..... 6-25

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(CONTINUED)

Chapter/Section Page

VOLUME II APPENDICES

A	Notice of Availability, Notice of Preparation, and Notice of Completion for the Revised Draft EIR, and Comment Letters
B	General Plan Amendments and General Plan Figure 2-6
C-1	Geotechnical Investigation for the Niven Nursery Site, Harza Engineering Company 1998
C-2	Review of Geologic Conditions for the Central Larkspur Specific Plan, Larkspur, California, World Environmental Science & Technology 1999
C-3	Doherty Drive Preliminary Geotechnical Evaluation Settlement and Vehicle Induced Vibrations
D-1	Preliminary Biological Assessment, Niven Nursery Project Site, Larkspur, California, Zander Associates 1998
D-2	Preliminary Wetland Delineation, Diane Renshaw 1999
D-3	List of Plant Species Observed by Zander Associates (1998) and Diane Renshaw (1999)
D-4	California Natural Diversity Database for the U.S. Geological Survey San Rafael Quadrangle
E-1	2005 and 2015 Aggregate Project Air Emissions
E-2	Results for 2005 Air Emissions
E-3	Results for 2015 Air Emissions
E-4	Carbon Monoxide Analysis
F-1	LOS Descriptions for Signalized and Unsignalized Intersections
F-2	Detailed Calculations of the Intersection LOS Analysis
F-3	Gas Station Trip Generation
G	Photographs of Noise Measurement Equipment and Locations
H-1	Phase I Site Assessment and Initial Phase II Assessment, Harza Engineering Company 1998
H-2	Soil and Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Report, ENSR International 2001

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AB	Assembly Bill
ABAG	Association of Bay Area Governments
ADT	average daily trips
AST	aboveground storage tank
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
Bay Mud	alluvial deposits of sand, silt, clay, a clayey silt/silty clay formed in the estuarine and deeper portions of the bay
BKF	Brian Kangas Foulk
BMP	best management practice
Cal/EPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
California UBC	California Uniform Building Code
Cal/OSHA	California Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CAP	Clean Air Plan
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CDFG	California Department of Fish and Game
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic feet per second
CGS	California Geological Survey
CMSA	Central Marin Sanitation Agency
CNDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNEL	Community Noise Equivalent Level
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
CO	carbon monoxide
CRHR	California Register of Historical Resources
CUPA	certified unified program agency
CWA	Clean Water Act

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

dB.....	decibel
dBA.....	A-weighted decibel
DHS.....	California Department of Health Services
DOSH.....	Division of Occupational Safety and Health
DTSC.....	Department of Toxic Substances Control
EIR.....	environmental impact report
EPA.....	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESA.....	Endangered Species Act
FAR.....	floor area ratio
FEMA.....	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FHWA.....	Federal Highway Administration
FIRM.....	Flood Insurance Rate Map
GIS.....	Geographic Information Systems
H ₂ S.....	hydrogen sulfide
HABS.....	Historic American Buildings Survey
HCM.....	Highway Capacity Manual
HCP.....	Habitat Conservation Plan
HI.....	Hazard Index
HOV.....	high-occupancy vehicle
HWMP.....	Hazardous Waste Management Program
Hz.....	hertz
in/sec.....	inches per second
ITE.....	Institute of Transportation Engineers
L ₀₁	A-weighted noise level exceeded 1% of the time during the measurement period
L ₁₀	A-weighted noise level exceeded 10% of the time during the measurement period
L ₅₀	A-weighted noise level exceeded 50% of the time during the measurement period

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

L ₉₀	A-weighted noise level exceeded 90% of the time during the measurement period
L _{dn}	day/night noise level
L _{eq}	equivalent noise level
L _{max}	maximum A-weighted noise level during the measurement period
L _{min}	minimum A-weighted noise level during the measurement period
LOS	Level of Service
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level
MCSTOPPP	Marin County Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MHHW	Mean Higher High Water
MHW	Mean High Water
MLLW	Mean Lower Low Water
MLW	Mean Low Water
mm/sec	millimeters per second
MMWD	Marin Municipal Water District
MOA	memorandum of agreement
MTBE	methyl tertiary butyl ether
MTL	Mean Tide Level
NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NOI	Notice of Intent
NOP	Notice of Preparation
NO _x	oxides of nitrogen
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
OHWM	ordinary high-water mark
O ₃	ozone
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Pb	lead
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PM _{2.5}	fine particulate matter
PM ₁₀	respirable particulate matter
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
PPV	Peak Particle Velocity
PRC	Public Resources Code
PRG	Preliminary Remediation Goal
PWA	Philip Williams & Associates
Qaf	artificial fill
Qm	Bay Mud
RAW	Removal Action Workplan
RBC	risk-based concentration
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
RMS	Root Mean Square
ROG	reactive organic gases
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Francisco Bay RWQCB	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SB	Senate Bill
SCWA	Solano County Water Agency
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
SO ₄	sulfates
SWPPP	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TAC	Toxic Air Contaminant
TIF	Traffic Impact Fee
TPH	total petroleum hydrocarbons
TSI	thermal systems insulation
UBC	Uniform Building Code

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	micrograms per cubic meter
Unified Program	unified hazardous waste and hazardous materials management regulatory program
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USC	United States Code
USFS	U.S. Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UST	underground storage tank
VdB	Vibration decibel
WEST	World Environmental Services & Technology

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The *Draft Central Larkspur Specific Plan* (2001 Draft Specific Plan) (City of Larkspur 2001) was prepared by Thomas Cooke Associates for the City of Larkspur (City) in June 2001 to facilitate the redevelopment of a 22-acre area adjacent to downtown Larkspur. The *Draft Central Larkspur Specific Plan Draft Environmental Impact Report* (previous Draft EIR) (City of Larkspur 2002) was subsequently prepared by Lamphier-Gregory for the City pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (CEQA) (Public Resources Code [CEQA] §21000 et seq., as amended) and the State CEQA Guidelines (Guidelines) (Title 14 California Code of Regulations §15000 et seq.). The previous Draft EIR was based on the project description, goals, and policies described in the 2001 Draft Specific Plan.

In June 2002 the previous Draft EIR was circulated for a 45-day review period to affected agencies, interested organizations, and private individuals. A number of substantive comments were received on the previous Draft EIR; based on this input, it was determined that the 2001 Draft Specific Plan and the previous Draft EIR would be revised and updated as appropriate and recirculated pursuant to Guidelines §15088.5). Accordingly, the City prepared a revised Draft Specific Plan, dated October 2003.

The City has revised the previous Draft EIR to reflect the revised Specific Plan and recirculated this Revised Draft EIR under Guidelines §15087 for public review because significant new information was added to the EIR after public notice was given of the availability of the previous Draft EIR for public review but before the document was certified.

In accordance with the State CEQA Guidelines, a Notice of Preparation (NOP) of the Revised Draft EIR was distributed on April 2, 2003 to public agencies and organizations, as well as to private organizations and individuals with a possible interest in the project. The purpose of the NOP was to provide notification of the City's plans to prepare a Revised Draft EIR and to solicit input on the scope and contents of the Revised Draft EIR. Two comment letters were received in response to the NOP. The NOP and the comment letters are included in Appendix A of this Revised Draft EIR.

This Revised Draft EIR augments and updates the previous analysis and focuses on the environmental impacts identified as potentially significant, based on the City scoping process, including the comment letters received in response to the NOP, and based on comments received in response to the circulation of the previous Draft EIR. This Revised Draft EIR includes and incorporates text, exhibits, and reference documents from the previous Draft EIR, where appropriate.

This Revised Draft EIR will be circulated for a 60-day public review period. During that time, responsible agencies, organizations, and the public may submit written comments on the sufficiency or adequacy of the Revised Draft EIR in evaluating the environmental effects that may be associated with the adoption and implementation of the Specific Plan.

A public hearing on the Revised Draft EIR will be held during the 60-day public review period. Oral and written comments on the adequacy of the Revised Draft EIR received at the public hearing and written comments received during the public review period will be included in the Final EIR. Responses to all comments received on the Revised Draft EIR will be presented in the Final EIR, and the text, tables, and exhibits in the Final EIR will be revised as appropriate.

Because the EIR has been substantially revised and the entire Revised Draft EIR is recirculated, the City will not individually respond to those comments received during the earlier circulation period; however, these comments are part of the administrative record and are available for review at the City Planning Department's public counter during business hours:

City of Larkspur Planning Department
400 Magnolia Avenue
Larkspur, CA 94939

The City requests that reviewers submit new comments on the Revised Draft EIR (Guidelines§15088.5[f][1]). The City will respond to all comments received during the recirculation period. Accordingly, with this document, the City has directly notified every agency, organization, or person who commented on the previous Draft EIR that it does not intend to respond to comments on the previous Draft EIR and that new comments must be submitted. Although part of the administrative record, the previous comments do not require a written response in the Final EIR.

1.2 CEQA ENVIRONMENTAL PROCESS

This Revised Draft EIR has been prepared by the City in accordance with the requirements of CEQA, as amended, and the Guidelines. An EIR is an informational document that is designed to inform decision makers, other responsible or interested agencies, and the general public of the potential environmental effects of a proposed project. The environmental review process accomplishes the following objectives: enable decision makers, the public, and responsible agencies to evaluate a project in terms of its environmental consequences; allow examination and implementation of ways to eliminate or reduce any potentially adverse impacts; and allow consideration of alternatives to the project as proposed. While CEQA requires that major consideration be given to avoiding environmental damage, the lead agency and other responsible agencies must balance adverse environmental effects against other public objectives, including economic and social goals, in determining whether and in what manner a project should be approved.

The basic purposes of CEQA are to:

- ▶ inform governmental decision makers and the public about the environmental effects of proposed activities,
- ▶ involve the public in the decision-making process,

- ▶ identify ways that damage to the environment can be avoided or significantly reduced, and
- ▶ prevent environmental damage by requiring changes in the project through the use of alternatives or mitigation measures.

Before approving the project, the City, as the lead agency and decision-making entity, is required to certify that the EIR has been completed in compliance with CEQA, that the proposed project has been reviewed and the information contained in the EIR has been considered, and that the EIR reflects the independent judgment of the City. Additionally, before approving the project, CEQA also requires the City to adopt “findings” with respect to each significant environmental effect identified in the EIR (CEQA §21081, State CEQA Guidelines §15091). For each significant effect, CEQA requires the approving agency to make one or more of the following findings:

- ▶ The project has been altered to avoid or substantially lessen significant impacts identified in the EIR.
- ▶ The responsibility to implement alterations or carry out mitigations is under the jurisdiction of another agency. Such alterations or mitigations have been adopted or should and can be adopted by another agency
- ▶ Specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make the mitigation measures or project alternatives identified in the Final EIR infeasible.

If the City concludes that the proposed project would result in the significant effects identified in the EIR, but those effects would not be substantially lessened or avoided by feasible mitigation measures and alternatives, the City must adopt a “statement of overriding considerations” before approving the proposed project (CEQA §21081[b], Guidelines §15093). Such statements are intended under CEQA to provide a written means by which the City balances in writing the benefits of the proposed project and the significant and unavoidable environmental impacts. Where the lead agency concludes that the economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits outweigh the unavoidable environmental impacts, the City may find such impacts “acceptable” and approve the project.

In addition, pursuant to CEQA §21081.6, the City, when approving a project, must also adopt a monitoring or reporting program for the changes that were incorporated into the project or made a condition of project approval in order to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment. The reporting or monitoring program is adopted at the time of project approval and must be designed to ensure compliance during project implementation.

This EIR analyzes the potential significant environmental effects of adopting the proposed Central Larkspur Specific Plan (Specific Plan). The Guidelines define “significant effect on the environment” as “a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the project ...” (State CEQA Guidelines §15382). The

Revised Draft EIR recommends mitigation measures to reduce these impacts and also addresses less-than-significant environmental effects.

The Specific Plan does not propose the development of any individual projects, but does provide a framework for general future development within the Specific Plan and serves to provide a Preliminary Development Plan for Subarea 3. Although the Specific Plan establishes density ranges for future development, setback requirements, dedication, and/or fee requirements, site-specific details for future development projects, including the Precise Development Plan for Subarea 3, are largely unknown at the present time. For this reason, the evaluation of the environmental impacts that may be associated with adoption and implementation of this Specific Plan is appropriately more general than would be conducted for an EIR that focuses on a specific development project.

Because of the general (rather than project-specific) focus of the Specific Plan, the use of a “Program” EIR is appropriate in reviewing the environmental impacts that may be associated with implementation of the Specific Plan. This EIR has therefore been prepared as a Program EIR rather than as a more detailed “Project” EIR, pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines §15168. A Program EIR may be prepared on a series of actions that can be characterized as one large project and are related geographically and are logical parts in the chain of contemplated actions. The Program EIR allows the City to consider broad policy alternatives and programwide mitigation measures at an early time when there is greater flexibility to deal with basic problems or cumulative impacts.

It is expected that this EIR will be used as a “first tier” document that assesses in a broader fashion, impacts of subsequent, individual development proposals. Consistent with Guidelines §15168(a) the City of Larkspur will use this analysis in conjunction with project-specific analyses of projects proposed for the Specific Plan area in its evaluation and decision-making process. A comment received on the previous DEIR expressed concern that individual projects would be approved without additional environmental review. Specifically, Government Code §65457 exempts from CEQA review “[a]ny residential development project, including any subdivision, or any zoning change that is undertaken to implement and is consistent with a specific plan” for which an EIR has been certified. Similar provisions are made in CEQA §21087.7(a) and Guidelines §15182. However, Government Code §65457 further states that substantial change in the project, substantial changes in circumstance, or the availability of new information after adoption of the specific plan would invalidate the exemption unless and until a supplemental environmental impact report for the specific plan or project is prepared and certified.

Future development projects are required to obtain planning approvals from the City of Larkspur. While it is the City’s intention to use the information and analysis in this EIR for future projects to the maximum extent practical to avoid repetitive discussion and duplication of effort, it is also the City’s intention to conduct project-level environmental review of individual projects, including elements that cannot be known at this time. For example, specifics of traffic generation and distribution, elements of site design, visual and aesthetic

quality, relationship to Larkspur Creek and proposed protection measures, and other issues will need to be evaluated in the context of the Specific Plan EIR analysis as they relate to future development proposals. At the time that development applications are received, the City will determine the content and degree of further site-specific environmental review and will prepare the appropriate environmental document. As such, subsequent environmental documentation will be required for development activities that may have effects that were not adequately addressed in this EIR.

1.3 PERMITS AND OTHER APPROVALS THAT MAY USE THIS EIR TO IMPLEMENT THE PROJECT

The first set of approvals that will be considered by the Planning Commission and City Council will include amendments to the General Plan and the Downtown Specific Plan, adoption of the Specific Plan, and rezoning of Subarea 3 to Planned Development District, with the adoption of the Specific Plan as the Preliminary Development Plan. These actions are just the first steps in a chain of contemplated City actions that will guide the ultimate development of the area defined within the Specific Plan boundaries. Subsequent City approvals must be granted and other actions must occur before site development. The information included in this EIR will also be used by other regulatory agencies in deciding whether to grant permits or approvals necessary to construct and operate proposed projects. The permits and other required approvals are listed in Table 1-1.

Agency	Permit/Approval/Certification	Reason
City Council (Subsequent to review and recommendation by the Planning Commission)	Certification of the Final Central Larkspur Specific Plan EIR	To certify the Final EIR as adequate and complete review of the environmental effects of the Specific Plan.
	Approval of amendments to the General Plan and the Downtown Specific, adoption of the Central Larkspur Specific Plan, and rezoning of Subarea 3	To amend the General Plan to redesignate 16.8 acres from Low Density Residential to Low Density Residential and Parkland and revise the land Use Element and Circulation Plan, to amend the Downtown the Specific Plan to remove Subareas 1 and 2 from the Downtown Specific Plan boundaries, to adopt the Specific Plan, and to rezone Subarea 3 from L-1, Light Industrial, to P-D, Planned Development District with the Specific Plan as the Preliminary Development Plan.

Table 1-1 Permits and Other Required Approvals that May Use This EIR to Implement the Project		
Agency	Permit/Approval/Certification	Reason
City Council and Planning Commission	Certification and approval of project-specific environmental documents, as appropriate	To review project-specific elements.
	Planning approvals for individual development projects including rezone, design review, use permits, tentative and subdivision maps, historic preservation review, grading permits, and other entitlements as required by the Zoning and other City Ordinances	To ensure that subsequent development projects are reviewed by the City for their consistency with the Specific Plan.
City Department of Public Works and Engineering	Approval of stormwater drainage facilities	To ensure that development plans are in compliance with the City's storm drainage design standards.
	Approval of Erosion Control Plan	To control the potential for stormwater to erode soils and cause them to enter Larkspur Creek.
	Issuance of grading permit	To ensure that grading is conducted in compliance with the City's grading standards.
Heritage Preservation Committee	Historic preservation review	Advisory to City Planning Commission to ensure that development projects are reviewed for protection of historic resources.
State Water Resources Control Board	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan	Required for the discharge of stormwater from construction and developed areas that are 1 acre or larger.
California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control	Demolition Plan approval	Required for the safe demolition of existing structures.
	Removal Action Workplan and Health and Safety Plan	Required to reduce the impact of contaminated soils.